

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 49 (2008) 390–393

Syntheses of *epi*-aigialomycin D and *deoxy*-aigialomycin C via a diastereoselective ring closing metathesis macrocyclization protocol

Naval Bajwa and Michael P. Jennings*

Department of Chemistry, 250 Hackberry Lane, The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0336, United States

Received 5 October 2007; accepted 5 November 2007 Available online 26 November 2007

Abstract—Syntheses of epi-aigialomycin D and deoxy-aigialomycin C are described via a remote stereocontrolled RCM macrocyclization.

 $© 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.$

First isolated and disclosed in 2002 by Isaka and co-workers, aigialomycin D (1) was shown to exhibit modest anti-malarial activities (IC_{50} : 6.6 μ g/ml) against Plasmodium falciparum K1, as well as, cytotoxicity towards the KB and Vero cancer cell lines at 3.0 and [1](#page-3-0).8 μ g/ml (IC₅₀), respectively.¹ In addition, aigialomycin D has recently been shown to bind to HSP90, but does not function as an indiscriminate ATP antagonist. Also, Winssinger has demonstrated that 1 is a selective kinase inhibitor for CDK1/cyclin and CDK/5p25 (5.7– 5.8 μ M).^{[2](#page-3-0)} From this data, it can be inferred that aigialomycin D and other resorcinol based natural products show promise as a valuable class of compounds for chemical genetics (Fig. 1).

Based on the biological data of aigialomycin D and other structurally similar resorcinol natural products, it is not surprising that there has been great interest in these compounds[.3](#page-3-0) The first total synthesis of 1 was reported by the Danishefsky group in 2004 and utilized a ring-closing metathesis (RCM) reaction to forge the

Figure 1. Structures of aigialomycin D (1) and aigialomycin C (2).

0040-4039/\$ - see front matter © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2007.11.038

macrocycle at the $C7'$ -C8' linkage and a very elegant late stage Diels–Alder reaction for the construction of the aromatic core.^{[4](#page-3-0)} A second synthesis was reported by She and Pan in which they employed a Julia-Kocienski olefination reaction for the construction of both double bonds and a Yamaguchi macrolactonization finished the targeted compound 1. [5](#page-3-0) Most recently, a macrocyclic RCM strategy at the C7'-C8', similar to the Danishefsky effort, was recently utilized by Winssinger for the completion of 1 and structurally related analogues via both solution and solid phase protocols.²

Our synthetic blueprint of 1 was envisioned to feature a highly chemoselective RCM protocol for the completion of the 14-membered macrocycle as shown in Figure 2. While RCM had been utilized by both Danishefsky and Winssinger for the $C7'$ -C8' olefin formation, our approach to 1 relied on a disconnection at the Cl' -C2^{\prime}

Figure 2. Retrosynthetic analysis of 1.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 205 348 0351; fax: +1 205 348 9104; e-mail: jenningm@bama.ua.edu

Scheme 1. Synthesis of intermediate 3: Reagents and conditions: (a) potassium vinyl trifluoroborate (1.1 equiv) , Et₃N (1.3 equiv) , Pd(dppf)Cl₂ (10 mol %), EtOH, 80 °C, 16 h, 77%.

styrene linkage, which would require a highly chemoselective macrocyclization versus a six-membered ring formation, vide infra.

Our synthetic outline to 1 required the synthesis of the substituted styrene 3 as highlighted in Scheme 1. Hence, a subsequent Suzuki–Miyaura coupling of the aryl triflate 4[6](#page-3-0) with potassium vinyl trifluoroborate and $Pd(dppf)Cl₂$ utilizing Molander's procedure^{[7](#page-3-0)} readily provided substituted styrene 3 in 77% yield.[8](#page-3-0)

With the aromatic segment readily in hand and in gram quantities, we next focused our effort on the completion of the aliphatic portion of 1 as delineated in Schemes 2, 3. Thus, treatment of the previously reported TBDPS protected glycidol derivative 8 with allyl magnesium bromide and 2 mol % of dilithio-tetrachlorocuprate readily provided the olefinic alcohol intermediate 9 in 87% yield.^{[9](#page-3-0)} Protection of the free hydroxyl group resident in 9 with MOMCl and Hunig's base furnished the protected olefinic diol 10 in 93% yield and sub sequent selective removal of the silyl ether with TBAF afforded the free primary alcohol which was further oxidized with TPAP-NMO to furnish the MOM protected chiral α -hydroxy aldehyde 7 in 71% yield over two steps from 10^{10} 10^{10}

With 7 in hand, we next focused our attention on the completion of 5 via an alkynyl addition to the aldehyde moiety. With this in mind, treatment of the known TBS protected propargylic alcohol 6^{11} 6^{11} 6^{11} with *nBuLi* provided the lithium alkynyl nucleophile which smoothly underwent addition to the aldehyde moiety of 7 to afford 11 which represented the entire carbon framework of the aliphatic portion of 1. We initially surmised that the

Scheme 2. Synthesis of intermediate 7: Reagents and conditions: (a) Li₂CuCl₄ (2 mol %), allylMgBr (1.2 equiv), THF, -30 °C, 0.25 h, 87%. (b) MOMCl (2.0 equiv), DIPEA (1.5 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , rt, 8 h, 93%. (c) TBAF (1.5 equiv), THF, rt, 16 h, 96%. (d) TPAP (10 mol %), NMO (3.0 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , 0°C, 1.5 h, 74%.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of intermediate 5: Reagents and conditions: (a) *n*BuLi (1.1 equiv), 6 (1.0 equiv), THF, -78 °C , 1.5 h, then 7 (0.7 equiv), THF, -78 °C, 1.5 h, 71%. (b) Red-Al (3.2 equiv), THF, 0 °C, 48 h, 72%. (c) TPAP (10 mol %), NMO (3.0 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 1.5 h, 92%. (d) Red-Al (1.2 equiv), toluene, 0 °C, 0.5 h, 84%. (e) HCl (two drops concd), MeOH, 50° C, 0.5 h, 100%. (f) DMP (25 equiv), PPTS (2 mol %), CH_2Cl_2 , rt, 5.0 h, 62%.

nucleophilic addition to 6 might display modest selectivity for the anti-Cram product due to the ability of the MOM group to undergo chelation controlled additions. Somewhat surprisingly, the addition of 6 to 7 gave rise to a 2:1 diastereomeric ratio (dr) favoring the Cram product 11. [12](#page-3-0) Ensuing diastereoselective reduction of the acetylene moiety of 11 was accomplished upon the addition of Red-Al via chelation-hydroalumination to selectively ($\geq 15:1$, E:Z) afford the allylic alcohol 12 in 72% yield, while maintaining the 2:1 dr at the hydroxyl group. With the olefin geometry set, attention was turned to final induction of the required diol stereochemistry of 5. Thus, oxidation of the allylic alcohol resident in 12 with TPAP-NMO readily removed the redundant 2:1 dr at C6' and provided the α , β -unsaturated ketone 13[13](#page-3-0) in 92% yield which set the stage for a chelation controlled reduction in anticipation of forming the cis-diol 14.

Both lithium and sodium borohydrides failed to exhibit selectivity as the product alcohol was isolated in good yields (80–88%). Unfortunately and contrary to Burke's report, LiBH₄ appeared not to undergo a chelation controlled addition as a modest amount of the Cram alcohol was isolated $(2:1).¹⁴$ $(2:1).¹⁴$ $(2:1).¹⁴$ Attempted reduction of 13 with LAH in THF $(0[°]C)$ provided the desired alcohol 14 in very high yield. However, the selectivity for the LAH reduction just simply replicated the dr from the addition of 6 to 7. With the LAH result in hand, it appeared that aluminum 'ate' based reducing reagents

Scheme 4. Synthesis of intermediate 18: Reagents and conditions: (a) NaH (1.2 equiv), 5 (1.3 equiv), THF/DMF 1:1, rt, 5 h, 78%. (b) 17 $(5 \text{ mol } \%)$, CH₂Cl₂, 50 °C, 16 h, 13% of 18 and 84% of 19.

showed a propensity for a chelation controlled reduction of ketone 13. Based on this observation, we decided to investigate Red-Al as a chelating reagent for the reduction of 13 to 14. Much to our delight, treatment of 13 with Red-Al in toluene at $0 °C$ readily afforded alcohol 14 with a satisfactory level of dr $(6.1 \text{ by } ^1H \text{ NMR of }$ the crude product) in a very acceptable 84% yield. With 14 in hand, only a couple of protecting group removals and a selective reprotection of the 1,2-diol subunit as the acetonide was left to complete the aliphatic portion of 1. Hence, treatment of the protected triol 14 with concd HCl in refluxing methanol readily cleaved both the silyl ether, as well as the MOM protecting group to provide the triol intermediate. Ensuing acetal formation of the cis-diol functionality to afford the acetonide protected compound 5 was accomplished via 2,2-dimethoxypropane and PPTS as the acid catalyst in a 62% yield over two steps from 15. The absolute configuration of the cisdiol moiety was unequivocally defined as illustrated in [Scheme 3](#page-1-0) via NOE enhancements between the C5['] and $C6'$ hydrogen atoms.

With the two subunits readily in our hands, we proceeded to couple advanced intermediates 3 and 5 as described in Scheme 4. Thus, deprotonation of 5 with NaH in 1:1 THF/DMF at $0 °C$ proceeded to provide the alkoxide anion which was then esterified with the aromatic compound 3 to afford the macrocyclic precursors 15 and 16 as an inseparable 6:1 ratio of diastereomers.

With the two subunits coupled, the stage was finally set for our proposed macrocyclization via a chemoselective RCM reaction. Much to our surprise, treatment of 15 and 16 with Grubbs' 2nd generation catalyst $(17)^{15}$ $(17)^{15}$ $(17)^{15}$ in refluxing CH_2Cl_2 (0.0002 M) led to the formation of a 14-membered macrocycle 18 and the acyclic compound 19 in 13% and 84% yields, respectively. Finally, treatment of 18 with 4 equiv of BBr₃ at -78 °C in CH_2Cl_2

Scheme 5. Synthesis of *epi*-aigialomycin D: Reagents and conditions: (a) BBr_3 (4.0 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , -78 °C, 1.0 h, 74%.

furnished the macrocycle epi-1 in a respectable 74% yield, as shown in Scheme 5. Unfortunately, the spectral data (¹H NMR, 360 MHz; ¹³C NMR, 90 MHz) were not in agreement with the natural sample 1. [1](#page-3-0) As delineated in Scheme 5, close investigation of the ¹H NMR of epi-1 and 1 coupled with the comparison of the structural data of aigialomycin C suggested that the synthesized compound was that of $epi-C'_6$ aigialomycin D.^{[1,16](#page-3-0)} The methine proton of C'_6 possessed a dramatic upfield shift of 3.81 ppm versus that of 4.35 ppm in 1. In addition, both protons α - to the C'_6 methine displayed a upfield shift with respect to that of 1.

Thus, it appeared that the stereochemistry resident at C'_{6} influenced macrocyclization by means of a classical resolution of the two diastereomers (15 and 16) by Grubbs' catalyst 17. The initial insertion of 17 must have taken place at the more accessible terminal alkene moiety of 15 and 16 followed either by six- or 14-membered ring formation via RCM. The formation of the cis-acetonide protected cyclohexene diol appeared to be favored over

Scheme 6. Synthesis of *deoxy*-2: Reagents and conditions: (a) NaH (1.2 equiv), 12 (1.3 equiv), THF/DMF 1:1, rt, 5.0 h, 78%. (b) 17 $(5 \text{ mol } \%)$, CH₂Cl₂, 50 °C, 16 h, 31%. (c) HCl (two drops concd), MeOH, rt, 1 h, 69%.

macrocyclization (also leading to the production of 19). However, the construction of the trans-substituted sixmembered ring was not readily viable, and RCM of 16 exclusively lead to the desired macrocyclic framework.

As shown in [Scheme 6,](#page-2-0) we took advantage of such a diastereoselective RCM reaction to also synthesize deoxy-aigialomycin C. As described above, esterification of 12 (2/1 ratio at C'_6) with styrene 19¹⁷ provided 20 in good yield. A subsequent RCM with 17 furnished the macrocycle 21 in virtually quantitative yield with respect to the trans-dioxolane diastereomer. Final deprotection of the acetonide moiety with HCl provided deoxyaigialomycin C 2 in 69% yield.¹⁸

In conclusion, the syntheses of epi-aigialomycin D and deoxy-aigialomycin C have been described via a remote stereocontrolled RCM macrocyclization. Future work in this area will focus on the completion of both 1 and 2 by means of site-selective RCM.

Acknowledgments

Support was provided by the University of Alabama and the NSF (CHE-0115760) for the departmental NMR facility.

References and notes

- 1. Isaka, M.; Suyarnsestakorn, C.; Tanticharoen, M.; Kongsaeree, P.; Thebtaranonth, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 1561.
- 2. Barluenga, S.; Dakas, P.-Y.; Ferandin, Y.; Meijer, L.; Winssinger, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 3951.
- 3. (a) Delmotte, P.; Delmotte-Plaquee, J. Nature 1953, 171, 344; (b) Ellestad, G. A.; Lovell, F. M.; Perkinson, N. A.; Hargreaves, R. T.; McGahren, W. J. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2339; (c) Ayer, W. A.; Lee, S. P.; Tsuneda, A.; Hiratsuka, Y. Can. J. Microbiol. 1980, 26, 766; (d) Ayer, W. A.; Pena-Rodriguez, L. Phytochemistry 1987, 26, 1353; (e) Sugawara, F.; Kim, K. W.; Kobayashi, K.; Uzawa, J.; Yoshida, S.; Murofushi, N.; Takahashi, N.; Strobel, G. A. Phytochemistry 1992, 31, 1987; (f) Sharma, S. V.; Agatsuma, T.; Nakano, H. Oncogene 1998, 16, 2639.
- 4. Geng, X.; Danishefsky, S. J. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 413.
- 5. Lu, J.; Ma, J.; Xie, X.; Chen, B.; She, X.; Pan, X. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2006, 17, 1066.
- 6. Dushin, R. G.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 655.
- 7. Molander, G. A.; Rivero, M. R. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 107.
- 8. Bajwa, N.; Jennings, M. P. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 3646.
- 9. Dixon, D. J.; Ley, S. V.; Reynolds, D. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2000, 39, 3622.
- 10. Data for aldehyde $7:$ ¹H NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.7 (d, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 5.8 (m, 1H), 5.1 (m, 2H), 4.8 (dd, $J = 14.8, 7.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.0 (t, $J = 5.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.5 (s, 3H), 2.2 (m, 2H), 1.8 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (90 MHz, CDCl₃) δ

202.9, 137.2, 115.9, 96.9, 81.8, 56.1, 29.3, 28.9. IR (CH₂Cl₂): 3053, 2986, 2305, 1733, 1422, 1374, 1265, 1046, 895, 735, 705, 421, 410, 404 cm⁻¹. $R_f = 0.33$, 20% EtOAc in hexanes. $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ 23.3° (c 0.012 g/mL, CH₂Cl₂). HRMS (EI) calcd for $\ddot{C_8}H_{14}O_3$ [M-H]⁺: 157.0865; found, 157.0863.

- 11. Romo, D.; Rzasa, R. M.; Shea, H. A.; Park, K.; Langenhan, J. M.; Sun, L.; Akhiezer, A.; Liu, J. O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 12237.
- 12. Mead, K. T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 1019.
- 13. Data for ketone 13: ¹H NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.0 (m, 1H), 6.4 (dt, $J = 15.7$, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.8 (m, 1H), 5.1 (m, 2H), 4.7 (dd, $J = 15.4$, 6.8 Hz, 2H), 4.2 (dd, $J = 7.7$, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 4.0 (dd, $J = 11.8$, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 3.4 (s, 3H), 2.3 $(m, 2H), 2.2 (m, 2H), 1.8 (m, 2H), 1.2 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H),$ 0.90 (s, 9H), 0.10 (d, $J = 3.9$ Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (90 MHz, CDCl3) d 199.8, 146.1, 137.7, 127.6, 115.8, 96.5, 80.8, 67.8, 56.3, 43.2, 32.0, 30.0, 26.0, 24.1, 18.3, -4.3, -4.6. IR (CH₂Cl₂): 3054, 2986, 1733, 1422, 1373, 1265, 1046, 895, 739, 705 cm⁻¹. $R_f = 0.48$, 20% EtOAc in hexanes. $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ -3.3° (c 0.046 g/mL, CH₂Cl₂). HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{36}O_4Si$ [M - C_4H_9]⁺: 299.1679; found, 299.1681.
- 14. Burke, S. D.; Deaton, D. N.; Olsen, R. J.; Armistead, D. M.; Blough, B. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 3905.
- 15. Scholl, M.; Ding, S.; Lee, C. W.; Grubbs, R. H. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 953.
- 16. Data for epi-1: ¹H NMR (360 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 11.7 (s, 1H), 9.2 (br s, 1H), 7.2 (dt, $J = 15.9$, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.6 (d, $J = 2.5$ Hz, 1H), 6.3 (d, $J = 2.5$ Hz, 1H), 6.2 (dt, $J = 15.9$, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 6.0 (m, 1H), 5.6 (m, 2H), 4.0 (d, $J = 3.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.9 (d, $J = 3.6$ Hz, 1H), 3.8 (m, 1H), 3.4 (m, 1H), 2.5 (m, 4H), 2.2 (m, 1H), 1.6 (m, 1H), 1.4 (d, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 3H).
¹³C NMR (90 MHz, acetone-d₆) δ 171.2, 165.1, 162.3, 143.3, 135.6, 132.4, 129.5, 127.0, 106.7, 103.4, 101.7, 76.8, 72.6, 71.7, 37.0, 30.4, 26.9, 17.8. IR (acetone): 3413, 3003, 1714, 1575, 1418, 1361, 1222, 1091, 901, 667 cm⁻¹. $R_{\rm f} = 0.31, 7\%$ MeOH in DCM. $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{24}$ +19.6° (c 0.0056 g/mL, MeOH). HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{18}H_{22}O_6$ $[M]^{+}$: 334.1416; found, 334.1410.
- 17. Styrene 19 was synthesized in the same manner as compound 3. Data for compound 19 : 1 H NMR $(360 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta$ 7.7 (dd, $J = 17.5, 10.9 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}$), 6.8 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.4 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.7 (d, $J = 17.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.4 (d, $J = 10.9$ Hz, 1H), 3.8 (s, 3H), 1.7 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (90 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.0, 160.2, 158.7, 144.0, 135.5, 117.6, 108.6, 105.1, 103.9, 100.8, 55.7, 25.6. IR (CH₂Cl₂): 4450, 3065, 2985, 1720, 1427, 1158, 895, 740, 705 cm⁻¹. $R_f = 0.64$, 50% EtOAc in hexanes. HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{13}H_{14}O_4$ [M]⁺: 234.0892; found, 234.0900.
- 18. Data for deoxy-2: ¹H NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.8 (s, 1H), 7.1 (d, $J = 15.9$ Hz, 1H), 6.6 (d, $J = 2.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.4 $(d, J = 2.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 6.2 \text{ (dt, } J = 15.9, 5.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 6.0 \text{ (m, }$ 1H), 5.6 (m, 2H), 3.9 (t, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.8 (s, 3H), 3.5 (m, 1H), 3.5 (s, 1H), 2.6 (m, 2H), 2.4 (m, 2H), 2.1 (m, 1H), 2.1 (s, 1H), 1.6 (m, 1H), 1.4 (d, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (90 MHz, CDCl3) d 171.2, 165.2, 163.9, 142.7, 134.1, 132.3, 129.8, 128.6, 106.7, 104.0, 99.8, 73.0, 71.4, 55.4, 37.3, 30.7, 27.0, 18.6. IR (CH₂Cl₂): 3420, 3000, 1720, 1560, 1216, 1080, 890, 705, 667 cm⁻¹. $R_f = 0.31$, 50% EtOAc in hexanes. $[\alpha]_D^{24} + 25.4^\circ$ (c 0.054 g/mL, CH₂Cl₂). HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{19}^{\text{T}}H_{24}O_6$ [M]⁺: 348.1573; found, 348.1573.